Dependence of the low-temperature specific heat of RBa₂Cu₃O_x ceramics on the nature of the rare-earth ion R

N.V. Anshukova, Yu.V. Bugoslavskii, V.G. Veselago, A.I. Golovashkin, O.V. Ershov, I.A. Zaitsev, O.M. Ivanenko, A.A. Kordyuk, A.A. Minakov, and K.V. Mitsen

Institute of General Physics, Academy of Sciences of the USSR

(Submitted 16 June 1988) Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **48**, No. 3, 152–154 (10 August 1988)

That component of the low-temperature specific heat of the high-temperature superconductors which is linear in the temperature has been found to be highly sensitive to a substitution of magnetic rare-earth ions for nonmagnetic Y. The low-temperature anomaly in the phonon specific heat is described by a model of a uniaxial crystal.

been observed¹⁻³ that the low-temperature specific It has heat $C = \gamma T + BT^3 + \cdots$ of the high-temperature superconductors YBa₂Cu₃O_{65+δ} has a significant component which is linear in the temperature T. The value of γ depends on δ , as was shown in Ref. 3, and has a value ~5-20 mJ/(mole K²), exceeding the electron component of the specific heat of normal metals. Such a temperature dependence of the specific heat is not characteristic of ordinary superconductors, for which the electron component of the low-temperature specific heat is exponentially small in the superconducting state. The presence of such a component in the specific heat of a high-temperature superconductor might be explained on the basis that the sample contains an uncontrollable nonsuperconducting phase in a concentration $\sim 1\%$ with a value of γ a hundred times that of normal metals. However, the very fact that a substance with such a large value of γ exists requires explanation.

In contrast, Anderson *et al.*⁴ have explained this temperature dependence of the specific heat in terms of the presence of "spinons"—quasiparticles with a spin of 1/2 and no charge, i.e., fermions—in the high-temperature superconductors. These fermions might interact with magnetic impurities through dipole or exchange interactions, with the further consequence that the effective mass of the spinons and thus the value of γ might depend on the concentration of these impurities. One might expect that the value of γ in the high-temperature superconductors would depend on a substitution of magnetic rare-earth ions for nonmagnetic Y. The specific heat of ceramic high-temperature superconductors with various magnetic rare-earth ions was studied in Refs. 5–7. Those studies focused on the anomalies in the specific heat near the temperature at which the samples undergo a transition to an antiferromagnetic state, T_N . An anomaly in the phonon specific heat of GdBa₂Cu₃O_x samples at T = 20-25 K was studied in Ref. 8. Similar anomalies were noted in Ref. 2 in a study of YBa₂Cu₃O_x and La_{1.85}Sr_{0.15}CuO₄ samples.

In the present study we have found a method to describe this anomaly, by taking account of the anisotropy of the crystal lattice of the high-temperature superconductors. By singling out the component of C(T) which is linear in the temperature we have studied the effect of the substitution of magnetic rare-earth ions for nonmagnetic Y on the value of γ in ceramic RBa₂Cu₃O_{6.5 + δ} samples with R = Y, Gd, Ho, Tm, and Yb.

The specific heat was measured with the modulation microalorimeter of Ref. 9 as the temperature was raised linearly at a rate of 0.1–1 K/min. The amplitude of the modulation of the sample temperature was varied over the range 10^{-3} – 10^{-1} K at modulation frequencies of 10–60 Hz and at temperatures of 3–30 K. The specific heat of the microsubstrate of the sample was 10^{-6} – 5×10^{-4} J/K at 3–100 K; this value was taken into account in the measurements. The absolute error in the measurements of the specific heat did not exceed 5%, and the relative error did not exceed ~1%. The test samples, weighing ~10 mgf, were disks 3 mm in diameter and 0.3 mm thick. An x-ray structural analysis verified that the samples, synthesized by the standard procedure involving a sintering of oxides of the corresponding substances, were of a single phase, within ~1–2%. All of the test samples with $T_c = 91-94$ K and with a superconducting transition width $\Delta T \sim 1-2$ K exhibited a jump in the specific heat, $\Delta C/C \approx 2.5\%$, at T_c . The temperatures T_c and the widths ΔT were found from the temperature dependence of the specific heat, the diamagnetic moment, and the real and imaginary parts of the dynamic magnetic susceptibility.

Figure 1 shows the results of these measurements, as a plot of C/T versus T^2 . In addition to the low-temperature magnetic component C_m , the specific heat C is seen to have a significant component which is linear in T; the coefficient of this component, γ , is found from the ordinate intercept in the limit $T \rightarrow 0$. The value of C_m is large near the magnetic transition temperature and falls off rapidly with increasing T. For the



FIG. 1. Temperature dependence of the specific heat of RBa₂Cu₃O_{6.5 + δ} samples with $\delta \approx 0.5$ and R = (1) Yb, (2) Ho, (3) Tm, (4) Gd, and (5) Y. The plus signs are theoretical values of the specific heat calculated from expression (1). The dashed line shows the temperature dependence of the specific heat of BaCuO₂ samples.

gadolinium samples, for example, the transition temperatures⁵⁻⁸ $T_N = 2.2$ K, and at T > 10 K the dependence of $C/T = \gamma + BT^2 + C_m/T$ on T^2 is linear; i.e., the component C_{m} is negligible at these temperatures. With a further increase in the temperature, we observe a change in the slope of the plot of C/T versus T^2 , which is particularly noticeable for the Yb and Tm samples. It turns out that the model of a uniaxial crystal, for which the elasticity along the long axis of the crystal lattice is considerably lower than that in the plane perpendicular to this axis, is a good approximation for describing the phonon specific heat of these samples. This observation means that the lattice is easily deformed in a relative displacement of the layers perpendicular to this axis; i.e., for transverse vibrations which are propagating along the axis the sound velocity (v_{\parallel}) is at its lowest, and in direction perpendicular to this axis the sound velocity (v_{\perp}) is considerably higher. In this connection we can introduce two Debye temperatures, $\Theta_1 = \hbar v_{\parallel} \pi c^{-1} k^{-1}$ and $\Theta_2 = \hbar v_{\parallel} 2 \sqrt{\pi} a^{-1} k^{-1}$, where k and \hbar are the Boltzmann constant and Planck's constant, c and a are the lattice constants along and perpendicular to the long axis ($c \approx 3a$), and $\Theta_1 \ll \Theta_2$. At $T < \Theta_1$, vibrations with wave vectors **q** inside the ellipsoid $q_{\parallel}^2 v_{\parallel}^2 + q_{\perp}^2 v_{\perp}^2 = (kT/\hbar)^2$, are excited, while at $T > \Theta_1$ the wave vectors of the vibrations which are excited are within a disk of height $2\pi/s$ and radius q_{\perp} $= kT/\hbar v_{\parallel}$. The specific heat corresponding to the transverse vibrations is conveniently written as the sum of two integrals over these two regions. To describe the lowtemperature specific heat associated with the longitudinal vibrations, we restrict the discussion to the isotropic approximation, introducing a Debye temperature Θ_3 . It can be shown that we have $\Theta_3 \sim \Theta_2 \gg \Theta_1$, since the longitudinal vibrations are always coupled with the strains in all three directions. The phonon specific heat of a high-temperature superconductor can thus be written in the form

$$C_{\rm ph} = pR_0 \left\{ 4 \left[\frac{T^3}{\Theta_1 \Theta_2^2} F(z_1) + \frac{T^2}{\Theta_2^2} (\phi(z_2) - \phi(z_3)) \right] + 3 \left(\frac{T}{\Theta_3} \right)^2 F(z_4) \right\},$$
(1)

where p = 13 is the number of ions in the unit cell of RBa₂Cu₃O₇, R_0 is the gas constant, $F(z) = \int_0^z x^4 e^x (e^x - 1)^{-2} dx$, $\phi(z) = \int_0^z x^3 e^x (e^x - 1)^{-2} dx$, $z_1 = \Theta_1/T$, $z_2 = \Theta_2/T$, $z_3 = \sqrt{2/3} \Theta_1/T$, and $z_4 = \Theta_3/T$. From (1) we see that at $T \ll \Theta_1$ the first two terms provide a value $\sim T^3/\Theta_1\Theta_2^2$, while at $T \gg \Theta_1$ they provide a value $\sim T^2/\Theta_2^2$. At $T \gg \Theta_2$, Θ_3 , the Dulong-Petit law holds: $C_{\rm ph} = 3pR_0$. Expression (1) has a slope change at $T_0 \sim \Theta_1/3.9$ in the plot of C/T versus T^2 . We can thus find Θ_1 immediately from the experimental values of T_0 . From Fig. 1 we see that the experimental behavior C(T) agrees well with the values calculated for $\gamma T + C_{\rm ph}$ from (1) with $\Theta_1 = 90$, 83, 86, and 62 K; $\Theta_2 = 850$, 810, 740, and 515 K; $\Theta_3 = 295$, 299, 279, and 320 K; and $\gamma = 10$, 60, 70, and 100 mJ/mole·K²) for the samples with R = Y, Gd, Tm, and Yb, respectively. Not conforming to this series are the results for the samples containing Ho, whose specific heat can be described by an isotropic model with a Debye temperature $\Theta = 404$ K and $\gamma = 290$ mJ/(mole·K²).

In summary, the anomaly in the phonon specific heat of a high-temperature superconductor can be described well by the model of a uniaxial crystal, and this anomaly depends on the atomic number of the rare-earth ion. Knowing Θ_1 and Θ_2 , we can estimate the anisotropy of the transverse sound velocity: v_1/v_{\parallel}

 $=\sqrt{\pi/2}(a/c)\Theta_2/\Theta_1\approx 2.5-3$ at c/a = 3. It turns out that the value of γ increases by a factor of tens when nonmagnetic Y is replaced by magnetic rare-earth ions. In order to explain the measured values of γ in terms of the presence of a BaCuO₂ phase in the samples (Fig. 1), we would be forced to assume that, GdBa₂Cu₃O_x, for example, the concentration of this phase is at least 40%, and in HoBa₂Cu₃O_x at least 90%, in contradiction of the data from the x-ray structural analysis. The sensitivity of γ to the particular rare-earth ion can be explained by assuming that the γT component of the specific heat of the high-temperature superconductors stems from Anderson spinons⁴ which are interacting with magnetization fluctuations of the rare-earth sublattice.

¹N. E. Philips, R. A. Fisher, S. E. Lacy et al., Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 26, Sup. 26-3, 1115 (1987).

- ²A. Junod, A. Bezinge, D. Cattani et al., Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 26, Sup. 26-3, 1119 (1987).
- ³A. Matsushita, T. Oguchi, K. Kimura et al., Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 26, L1953 (1987).
- ⁴P. W. Anderson, G. Baskaran, Z. Zou, and T. Hsu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2790 (1987).
- ⁵S. E. Brown, J. D. Thompson, J. O. Willis et al., Phys. Rev. B 36, 2298 (1987).
- ⁶T. Kohara, Y. Oda, Y. Konori et al., Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 26, L1672 (1987).
- ⁷A. P. Ramirez, L. F. Schneemeyer, and J. V. Waszczak, Phys. Rev. B 36, 7145 (1987).
- ⁸M. E. Reeves, D. S. Gitrin, B. G. Pazol et al., Phys. Rev. B 36, 6915 (1987).
- ⁹O. V. Ershov, A. A. Minakov, and V. G. Veselago, Preprint No. 233, P. N. Lebedev Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 1983, p. 31.

Translated by Dave Parsons