

PUBLICATION ETHICS

AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

The Editorial Board of the Collected Scientific Transactions '*Nanosistemi, Nanomateriali, Nanotehnologii*' (i.e., 'Nanosystems, Nanomaterials, Nanotechnologies') follows ethics norms accepted by international scientific community and makes every endeavour to prevent any infringements of the norms. The Editorial Board follows the guidelines of the Committee on Publication Ethics (<http://publicationethics.org>).

Duties of Editors

- All submitted papers are subject to strict peer-review process by reviewers that are experts in the area of the particular paper.
- The factors, which are taken into account in reviewing process, are relevance, soundness, significance, originality, readability, and quality of language.
- The possible decisions include acceptance, acceptance with revisions, or rejection.
- If authors are encouraged to revise and resubmit a submission, there is no guarantee that the revised submission will be accepted.
- Rejected articles will not be re-reviewed.
- Articles may be rejected without review, if they are obviously not suitable for publication.
- The paper acceptance is constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism.

When a conflict of interests arising, all the participants of reviewing process should inform the Editorial Board. All the contentions questions are considered in the Board meeting.

The accepted papers are allocated in open access on the journal site; copyrights reserved.

Duties of Reviewers

- The reviewers evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.
- The staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the Publisher, as appropriate.
- Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.
- Peer review assists the Publisher in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the experts from the scientific board and the author may assist the author in improving the paper.
- Manuscripts received for review are treated as confidential documents and are reviewed by anonymous staff.
- A reviewer should also call to the Publisher's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Duties of Authors

- Authors of contributions and studies research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance.
- A paper should contain sufficient details and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behaviour and are unacceptable.
- The authors should ensure that they have written original regular or entirely review works, if the authors have used the work and/or words of others that this has been obligatory and appropriately cited or quoted.
- Submitting the same manuscript to more than one publication concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.
- Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study.
- Sources of financial support for the reported results can be specified.